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We recently reported that borabenzene adducts ${ }^{1}$ can be converted to boratabenzene ligands upon reaction with complexes of $\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Zr}$, and Hf supported with $\sigma$ - ligands (Scheme 1). ${ }^{2,3}$ This reaction proceeds via coordination of borabenzene followed by an intramolecular nucleophilic attack on the boron atom, and it can be used to synthesize bis(boratabenzene)zirconium complexes. ${ }^{4}$ Upon activation with methylaluminoxane (MAO), these complexes provide excellent single-site catalysts for the polymerization and oligomerization of olefins. ${ }^{5}$ The reaction in Scheme 1 circumvents the use of the more reducing alkali metal boratabenzene salts and should provide an efficient means to coordinate boratabenzene in situations where metal reduction may be encountered.

Boratabenzene complexes of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ are attractive synthetic targets. Theopold has shown that $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CrMe}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BPh}_{4}\right]^{6}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right.$ $=\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ) is an active ethylene polymerization catalyst which mimics the reactivity of the heterogeneous Union Carbide catalyst. ${ }^{7}$ Efforts on our part to make isoelectronic complexes containing boratabenzene instead of $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$, by reaction of $\mathrm{Li}-$ $\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Ph}\right]$ with $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}(\mathrm{THF})_{3}$, invariably lead to the known $\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Ph}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Cr} .{ }^{8}$ Reduction to $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ diminishes considerably the catalytic activity of homogeneous Cr complexes. ${ }^{9}$ In this contribution we describe a synthesis of monoboratabenzene complexes of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ which is based on Scheme 1. These complexes can be converted into catalysts that exhibit polymerization activities similar to those of standard group 4 metallocenes. ${ }^{10}$

In analogy to the reactivity of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{THF})_{2},{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ (1) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{THF})_{3}{ }^{11}$ in toluene. An instantaneous darkening of the red solution occurs. Workup of the reaction affords large blood-red crystals in greater than 50\% yield, which analyze correctly for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{BCrP}$. Broad signals in the -40 to 20 ppm range are observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, and a broad peak centered at 370 ppm is observed in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$

[^0]

Figure 1. ORTEP view of 3. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30\% probability level; hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances $(\AA): \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2), 2.345(2) ; \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3), 2.332(2) ; \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$, 2.327(2); $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5), 2.376(2) ; \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6), 2.405(2) ; \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$, 2.078(2); $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9), 2.072(2) ; \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1), 2.481(2) ; \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$, 2.3953(5); B(1)-C(7), 1.579(3).


Figure 2. ORTEP view of 4. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the $30 \%$ probability level; hydrogen atoms and the $\left[\mathrm{MeB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]$ anion were omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances $(\AA): \operatorname{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1), 2.403(3)$; $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2), 2.331(3) ; \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3), 2.245(3) ; \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4), 2.266$ (3); $\mathrm{Cr}-$ (1) $-\mathrm{C}(5), 2.326(3) ; \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7), 2.074(3) ; \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1), 2.545(4) ; \mathrm{Cr}-$ (1) $-\mathrm{P}(1), 2.4466(12) ; \mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2), 2.4295(11) ; \mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6), 1.570(5)$.

## Scheme 1



NMR spectrum. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study shows that the complex is $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Ph}\right) \mathrm{CrPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)(\mathbf{2}$, in eq 1) and that it has a typical three-legged piano-stool geometry (see Supporting Information).


To prepare the methyl analogue of $\mathbf{2}$, we resorted to making " $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Cr}$ " in situ by treating a slurry of $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}(\mathrm{THF})_{3}$ with 3 equiv of MeMgBr (THF, $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Subsequent addition of $\mathbf{1}$ results in an instantaneous color change from red to green. Stirring for an

Table 1. Ethylene Polymerization Results ${ }^{a}$

| entry | precatalyst | activator | activity $^{\text {b }}$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\times 10^{-3}\right)$ | $M_{\text {w }}\left(\times 10^{-3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{PDI}^{c}$ | $T_{\mathrm{m}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | MAO | 71.5 | 6.46 | 28.7 | 4.43 | 130.2 |
| 2 | 2 | $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | 3 | MAO | 83.4 | 2.97 | 12.1 | 4.07 | 130.5 |
| 4 | 3 | $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ | 27.5 | 10.6 | 38.5 | 3.63 | 127.4 |
| 5 | 3 | $2 \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ | 71.7 | 16.6 | 53.9 | 3.25 | 128.7 |
| 6 | 3 | $3 \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ | 65.9 | 13.8 | 59.8 | 4.33 | 129.7 |
| 7 | 3 | $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{4}\right]$ | 50.5 | 39.5 | 114.1 | 2.89 | 130.0 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CrMe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)^{d}$ | MAO | 73.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CrMe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ | 44.2 | 14.7 | 56.7 | 3.87 | 129.5 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrCl}_{2}$ | MAO | 85.4 | 9.87 | 22.3 | 2.55 | 132.5 |

${ }^{a}$ Conditions for polymerizations: $[\mathrm{M}]=1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Zr} ; 30 \mathrm{~min} ; 1 \mathrm{~atm}$ ethylene; $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; for MAO polymerizations, $[\mathrm{Al}] /[\mathrm{M}]=1000$.
${ }^{b}$ Activity measured in kg [product]/mol $\mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{hr} .{ }^{c} M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}} .{ }^{d}$ Only low molecular weight products were obtained from this reaction.
additional 30 min at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gives a dark purple solution. Purpleblack needles that analyze for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BCrP}$ can be obtained in nearly $70 \%$ yield. Structural characterization (Figure 1) shows that the product is $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{CrMe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)(\mathbf{3})$, as shown in eq 2. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ displays a broad resonance centered at 219 ppm .

(2)

3
The molecular structures of 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ are similar. Minor differences are observed in the $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{B}$ distances $(\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{B})=$ $2.565(3) \AA$ in 2 and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{B})=2.481(2) \AA$ in 3). However, because the rings are rotated differently relative to the $\mathrm{CrR}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ tripod, it is not obvious whether variations in $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{B}$ distances are due to steric or electronic preferences.

Table 1 shows the results from a series of polymerization experiments. Complexes 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ react with excess methylaluminoxane $(\mathrm{MAO})\left([\mathrm{Cr}]=1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~min}\right.$ reaction time, the reaction vessel was submerged in a water bath at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1000$ $\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Cr}, 1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) to give active ethylene polymerization catalysts (entries 1 and 3). The result from $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrCl}_{2} / \mathrm{MAO}$ under similar conditions (entry 10 ) shows that the reactivities of $\mathbf{2} / \mathrm{MAO}$ and $\mathbf{3} / \mathrm{MAO}$ are similar to group 4 metallocenes. The well-defined activator $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}{ }^{12}$ is suitable for $\mathbf{3}$ but fails in the case of $\mathbf{2}$ (entries 2 and 4). For $3 / \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$, the best results are observed with a borane-to- 3 ratio of approximately 2 (entries 4,5 , and 6 ). Excellent activity is also observed with $3 /\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{4}\right]^{13}$ (entry 7). Finally, the activities of $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CrMe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)^{14}$ with MAO and $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ are shown in entries 8 and 9 for comparison. In all cases, melting points typical for linear polyethylene were obtained.

Studies on $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CrMe}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BPh}_{4}\right]$ and on bis(boratabenzene) $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{2} / \mathrm{MAO}^{15}$ systems suggest that the catalysts derived from $\mathbf{3}$ are cations of the type $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{CrMe}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$. However, it is important to rule out the possibility of phosphine abstraction for the $3 / B\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ catalysts. In particular, it has been shown that $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ can remove phosphine from nickel complexes to generate neutral ethylene polymerization catalysts. ${ }^{16}$

A series of experiments were conducted to resolve some of the uncertainties raised above. Addition of excess THF- $d_{8}$ to a solution of $\mathbf{3} / \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ generates a sharp resonance at -14.5 ppm in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum attributed to free

[^1]$\left[\mathrm{MeB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]^{-.} .{ }^{17}$ Addition of MeMgBr to $\mathbf{3} / \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ regenerates the signal at 219 ppm which is characteristic of $\mathbf{3}$. These observations are consistent with the formation of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Me}\right)\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CrMe}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{MeB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]$ instead of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{CrMe}_{2}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]$.

In another set of experiments, a slight excess of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ was added to $3 / \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ in toluene, resulting in a color change from green to red. After removal of toluene and residual $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, the product was dissolved in 3:1 $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6} /$ chlorobenzene and examined by ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectroscopy. A signal was clearly observed at -14.4 ppm due to $\left[\mathrm{MeB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]^{-}$. Slow evaporation of an ether solution gave single crystals suitable for structural characterization (Figure 2). The product of the reaction is therefore $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{CrMe}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{MeB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right](4)$, as shown in eq 3 .


The solid-state structure of $\mathbf{4}$ resembles closely that of $\mathbf{3}$, with some subtle differences. A slight increase in $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{B}$ distance is observed $(\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{B})=2.545(4) \AA$ in $\mathbf{4}$ vs d $(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{B})=2.481(2) \AA$ in 3) as well as a decrease in chromium-ring carbon distances $\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {ave }}\right)=2.357(2) \AA\right.$ in $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {ave }}\right)=2.314(3) \AA$ in 4). The positively charged Cr therefore binds more tightly to the electron-rich carbons, despite the presence of the bulkier $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand. At the same time, the $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{B}$ overlap is reduced.
In summary, we have shown that monoboratabenzene $-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes such as $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ are readily accessible by reaction of 1 with either $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{THF})_{3}$ or " $\mathrm{CrMe}_{3}$ " made in situ. Complexes $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ are precursors to ethylene polymerization catalysts that have activities competitive with those prepared from standard metallocenes. Furthermore, it is possible to activate $\mathbf{3}$ with $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ to give single component catalysts of the type $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CrMe}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{MeB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]$. There is ample precedent for the modulation of reactivity in boratabenzene-supported catalysts by choice of the boron substitutent. A similar control is anticipated for these $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ catalysts.
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